UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	V
SEAN FLYNN,	- X : :
Plaintiff,	:
- against —	:
NANCY A. BERRYHILL, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,	:
Defendant.	: : - X

USDC SDNY		
DOCUMENT	İ	
ELECTRONICALLY FILED		
DOC #:	······································	
DATE FILED: _	9/30/2019	
<u> </u>		

18-CV-2686 (VSB) (OTW)

## **OPINION & ORDER**

## Appearances:

Christopher James Boyes Law Office of Christopher James Bowes, Esq. Shoreham, New York Counsel for Plaintiff

Susan Colleen Branagan U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York New York, New York Counsel for Defendant

## VERNON S. BRODERICK, United States District Judge:

Plaintiff Sean Flynn brings this action seeking judicial review of the final decision of the Acting Commissioner of Social Security ("Defendant"), denying Plaintiff Social Security disability insurance benefits under Title II of the Social Security Act. I referred the case to Magistrate Judge Gabriel W. Gorenstein for a report and recommendation on the motion. (Doc. 8.) Shortly thereafter, the action was re-designated to Magistrate Judge Ona T. Wang, and the referral I had entered was reassigned to her.

On July 12, 2019, both parties filed competing motions for judgment on the pleadings, which were briefed in a joint stipulation. (Doc. 20.) Before me is Judge Wang's August 13, 2019

Report and Recommendation, (Doc. 21), which recommends that Plaintiff's motion be granted in

part and that Defendant's motion be denied.

A district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or

recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). "To accept the report and

recommendation of a magistrate, to which no timely objection has been made, a district court need

only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record." Nelson v. Smith, 618 F.

Supp. 1186, 1189 (S.D.N.Y. 1985).

Here, although the Report provided that the "parties shall have fourteen (14) days (including

weekends and holidays) from receipt of this Report to file written objections[,]" (Doc. 21, at 19),

neither party has filed an objection, or sought an extension to file an objection. I have reviewed

Judge Wang's thorough and well-reasoned Report and Recommendation for clear error and, after

careful review, find none. I therefore adopt the Report and Recommendation in its entirety.

Plaintiff's motion is GRANTED, and this case is remanded pursuant to sentence four of 42

U.S.C. § 405(g) for further proceedings, consistent with the Report and Recommendation.

The Clerk's Office is respectfully directed to enter judgment remanding this case to the

Commissioner of Social Security.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 30, 2019

New York, New York

Vernon S. Broderick

United States District Judge

2